

IN THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

AT SEATTLE CHAPTER 13 BANKRUPTCY MAY 13 2022

MARK L. HATCHER, CLERK  
OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT  
Case no. 2210270

Date May 11<sup>th</sup>, 2022

Karie A. Simmons

11127 NE 162<sup>ND</sup> St.

Bothell, WA 98011

Plaintiff,

vs.

Wells Fargo Bank

625 Marquette Ave.

Minneapolis, MN 55479

Defendant,

FINAL NOTICE OF DEFAULT

NOTICE TO CEASE AND DESIST

FINAL NOTICE TO FILE DEFENDANT'S

RELEASE OF CLAIM

**REGISTERED MAIL NO.**

---

**FINAL NOTICE OF DEFAULT \* NOTICE TO CEASE AND  
DESIST IN DEFENDANT'S FRAUDULANT ACTIVITIES \***  
**FINAL NOTICE OF RELEASE OF CLAIM \* PLAINTIFF'S**  
**FINAL NOTICE OF UN-REBUTTED AFFIDAVIT AND**  
**DECLARATION OF THESE FACTS**

Reference TIN# 22-2195996

Account No. 7131401882

Plaintiff, Karie A. Simmons, does hereby "lawfully notice" Wells Fargo Bank that, for the record, as of this date, they have "once again willfully failed to file" their "Proof of Claim" in the above listed Bankruptcy Case. Defendant also, once again, failed or intentionally refused to show up at the last scheduled 341 Creditors Meeting on May 2nd, 2022. Further, you were lawfully put on Notice of this Pending Case via three previous Certified Letters that Plaintiff

previously sent to you marked, Exhibits C, D and E in this Registered Letter. This Letter is your “**4th and Final Notice of Your Default**”, to remind you that you had a lawful duty under the Bankruptcy Laws to file a “**Valid Proof of Claim**” in this Bankruptcy Estate to protect any lawful interest that you might have had in this Estate. **Because you have not filed a lawful or valid “Proof of Claim” within the time the law required you to do so, your silence, your non-performance, your Bad Faith in this matter is the lawful evidence and proof that the Defendant in this case has Acquiesced by default, and that they have no lawful claim or valid interest in the specific Bankruptcy Estate within this Case.**

**This letter is also your Final Notice to file a “RELEASE OF CLAIM” IMMEDIATELY, in the interest of the Plaintiff within this specific case. Or if the Plaintiff in this case does not receive this “Release of Claim” from the Defendant within the next 10 days from the date of this Notice, the PLAINTIFF IN THIS CASE WILL EXERCISE HER RIGHT, AND FILE A MOTION WITH THE BANKRUPTCY COURT, AND PETITION THE COURT TO HAVE DEFENDANT’S ALLEGED SECURE DEBT LAWFULLY DISCHARGED IN FULL, TO PROTECT THE PLAINTIFF’S INTEREST IN HER ESTATE.**

Therefore, the only documentation that is demanded or required from you at this time, is that you file your “**Release of Claim**”, as you have waived your legal right to file a lawful “**PROOF OF CLAIM**”. **Wells Fargo Bank** is now in “Administrative Default”, and has acquiesced by its silence and its non-performance, and by these actions, the Defendant does not at this time have any lawful standing or basis to bring forth any lawful remedies, or claims. The Defendant in this instant case would have been entitled to claim lawful remedies, had the Defendant timely filed their “**PROOF OF CLAIM**” within the time allowed within this Bankruptcy Case. However, as the record shows, the Defendant **Wells Fargo Bank** has failed to do so. Therefore, this final lawful action of voluntarily “**Releasing your interest or Claim**” needs to be completed by your lawfully appointed legal representative immediately, within the next 10 days from the Date of this “**Final Notice of Default**”.

Defendant’s “willful failure to respond” to this “**Final Notice of Default**” will be taken by the Plaintiff as a **Dishonor**, in that the Defendant is and has been acting in **Bad Faith** in this matter. Further, Defendant’s Silence and their Non-performance will now be used as evidence by the Plaintiff that the Defendant in this Case has acquiesced in this matter. Therefore, it will be treated as an Administrative Default, per the Administrative Procedures Act of 1946. Again, if Defendant “fails to respond” voluntarily within the time allowed in this “**Final Notice of Default**”, Defendant’s silence will give the Plaintiff in this instant case the lawful right to file a motion or to Petition the Court within this Bankruptcy Case. This action will provide the Plaintiff with the lawful basis for the DEFENDANT’S ALLEGED SECURED DEBT to be LAWFULLY DISCHARGED, TO PROTECT THE PLAINTIFF’S INTEREST IN HER ESTATE.

Defendant’s “willful failure to produce” the **Original “WET INK” Signature on the Original Promissory note** has been taken by the Plaintiff as a Dishonor, and that the Defendant

is and has been acting in **Bad Faith and in Dishonor** in every step of these Bankruptcy proceedings.

Further, Defendant's "willful failure to show up" once again, for the 2<sup>nd</sup> time, at the 341 Creditors meeting on May 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2022, shows that the Defendant's in this case have been continually and consistently acting in "**Bad Faith and in Dishonor**", and these facts will now be used by the Plaintiff in this case as lawful evidence that Wells Fargo Bank has acquiesced by there silence and their non-performance in this matter, therefore, these actions and inactions will now also be treated as an "**Administrative Default**", as per the Administrative Procedures Act of 1946.

Defendant's "willful failure to produce" the lawful evidence that they are in fact the lawful bona-fide **Secured Creditor or a lawful Note Holder of Due Course** has been taken by the Plaintiff in this case as a Dishonor, and that the Defendant is and has been acting in "**Bad Faith and Dishonor**" in every step in these Bankruptcy proceedings.

Defendant's "willful failure to produce" their **GAAP Book entry as evidence of their debit of the Creditor's transactions in this case**, has now become lawful evidence that the Defendant in this case has been acting in "**Bad Faith and Dishonor**" in every step in these Bankruptcy Proceedings.

Defendant's "willful failure to produce" the required documentation that was lawfully required of them, which was listed and outlined in the past three CERTIFIED or Registered MAILINGS, has become the lawful evidence that the Defendant's Secured Creditor position in this matter lacked the necessary lawful elements for the Defendant to file a "**Valid Proof of Claim**", thereby committing Civil Fraud, in this Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case. (See Exhibit A)

Therefore, Defendant's "willful failure to produce", or by Defendant's "**Unwillingness to Stipulate**" in this instant Bankruptcy Case that the Defendant is in fact:


- 1) A lawful "**Note Holder of Due Course**".
- 2) A lawful Secured Creditor as the Defendant has **willfully refused** to provide their "**GAAP Book Entry**" as evidence of Defendant's debit within this Bankruptcy.
- 3) Unwilling to produce "**lawful Wet Ink Signature**" on the original note as required by law.
- 4) Unwilling or unlawfully refusing to file a "**Proof of Claim**" under penalty of perjury in this instant Bankruptcy Case, to defend their Secured Creditors position.

Because of these documented facts listed above, the Defendant in this case has violated the requirements of the TILA, in this Bankruptcy Case. Defendant's Actions, of Silence, Bad Faith, Dishonor, Non-performance, and then finally Acquiescing to all the facts stated above in this Bankruptcy Case have also provided the necessary and lawful "**Prima Facie**" evidence that the Defendant has been attempting to collect money from the Plaintiff in the past, on the basis of Criminal and Civil Fraud. (See Definition of Fraud in Exhibit A)

Now to the final question of **Wells Fargo Bank's** alleged Secured Creditors Debt in this instant Bankruptcy Case. Plaintiff claims that because of Defendant's Actions or inactions and the documented facts in this instant Case, Defendant's alleged secured debt in this Chapter 13 Bankruptcy is hereby moot. Therefore, Plaintiff believes that said Debt can be lawfully discharged by the Court within this Bankruptcy Case, because of the Defendant's "willful failure to defend" its alleged **Secured Creditors Debt**, when **Wells Fargo Bank** was lawfully notified three times via Certified or Registered Mail that it was required to do so by Law. Therefore, the Defendant has forfeited their lawful right to claim any right to do so now, and or in any future foreclosure actions that might be pursued by the Defendant.

In closing, this "**Final Notice of Default and Cease and Desist**" will lawfully take effect, unless "**Wells Fargo Bank**" files an action in this instant Bankruptcy Case within the next 10 days from receipt of this "**Final Notice of Default**". At that time, this "**Final Notice of Default**" and the accompanying "**Final Notice of Unrebutted Affidavit and Declaration of these Facts**" (See Exhibit B) will become the "Judgment in Commerce", and **Wells Fargo Bank** will no longer have any lawful or valid claim over the Plaintiff's Estate.

Sincerely,



Karie A. Simmons Date 5/11/22

Karie A. Simmons, Plaintiff

**Enclosed Exhibits:**

Exhibit A. Definitions of Fraud

Exhibit B. **Plaintiffs Final Notice of Unrebutted Affidavit and Declaration of these Facts.**


Exhibit C: Plaintiffs 1<sup>st</sup> Notice to Wells Fargo Bank.

Exhibit D. Plaintiffs 2<sup>nd</sup> Notice to Wells Fargo Bank.

Exhibit E. Plaintiffs 3<sup>rd</sup> Notice to Wells Fargo Bank.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the previous four pages of this **FINAL NOTICE OF DEFAULT \* NOTICE TO CEASE AND DESIST IN YOUR FRAUDULENT ACTIVITIES \* FINAL NOTICE OF RELEASE OF CLAIM \* PLAINTIFF'S FINAL NOTICE OF UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF THESE FACTS**" has been sent to Wells Fargo Bank by way of **REGISTERED MAIL** to Defendants at their last known address: Wells Fargo Bank, 625 Marquette Ave. Minneapolis, MN 55479 on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2022.



Karie A. Simmons




Witness



## **EXHIBIT A**

# **Definition of “FRAUD”?**




## **INTERNAL REVENUE CODE (TITLE 26)**

Definition of "Fraud":

### **25.1.1.2 (01-23-2014) Definition of Fraud**


1. **Fraud** is deception by misrepresentation of material facts, or silence when good faith requires expression, which results in material damage to one who relies on it and has the right to rely on it. Simply stated, it is obtaining something of value from someone else through deceit.
2. Tax fraud is often defined as an intentional wrongdoing, on the part of a taxpayer, with the specific purpose of evading a tax known or believed to be owing. ...



## **BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY**

Definition of "Fraud":

An intentional perversion of truth for the purpose of inducing another in reliance upon it to part with some valuable thing belonging to him or to surrender a legal right. A false representation of a matter of fact, whether by words or by conduct, by false or misleading allegations, or by concealment of that which should have been disclosed, which deceives and is intended to deceive another so that he shall act upon it to his legal injury... "Bad faith" and "fraud" are synonymous, and also synonyms of dishonesty, infidelity, faithlessness, perfidy, unfairness, etc.




## **THE MERRIAM-WEBSTER DICTIONARY**

Definition of "Fraud": (frôd), *n.*

1. Deceit or trickery perpetuated for profit or to gain some unfair or dishonest advantage. 2. a particular instance of such deceit or trickery : *mail fraud*; *election fraud*. 3. something that is not what it pretends: *The relief program is a fraud*. 4. a deceitful person: imposter.

—**Syn.** See DECEIT



## **INTERNET SEARCH**

Definition of "Fraud": noun: **fraud**; plural noun: **frauds**

1. Wrongful or criminal deception intended to result in financial or personal gain.

*Similar:* fraudulence, cheating, swindling, trickery, deceit, deception, double-dealing, treachery, embezzlement, monkey business, funny business, crookedness, hanky-panky, shenanigans, flimflam, monkeyshines, trick, cheat, hoax, ruse, swindle, racket, scam, con, rip-off, leg-pull, sting, gyp, kite, diddle, fiddle, swizzle, boondoggle, hustle, grift, etc.

## **EXHIBIT B**

MAY 13 2022

# UN-REBUTTED AFFIDAVIT

MARK L. HATCHER, CLERK  
OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT

## FINAL NOTICE OF DEFAULT and AFFIANT'S FINAL NOTICE OF UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF THESE FACTS

I, Karie A. Simmons, residing at 11127 NE 162<sup>nd</sup> St., Bothell, WA, herein referred to as and incorporated into this Affidavit as, "Affiant", do solemnly affirm and declare to the best of my abilities, verify, and state as follows:

1. Affiant is competent to state the matters set forth herein, and;
2. Affiant has first-hand personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, and;
3. Affiant states that all the facts stated herein are true, correct, and complete to the best of Affiant's knowledge. The information that is stated in Affiant's **FINAL NOTICE OF DEFAULT** and **AFFIANT'S FINAL NOTICE OF UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF THESE FACTS** is accurately stated. If called upon as a witness, Affiant will testify to the veracity of Affiant's personal understandings and reasons for the drafting of this sworn Un-Rebutted affidavit, and;
4. Affiant has acted in "**Good Faith and in Honor**" by notifying **Wells Fargo Bank** with this Unrebutted Affidavit that Bank needs to immediately File their "**Release of Claim**" in Affiant's Bankruptcy Case No. 2210270, within the 10 days from the date that **Wells Fargo Bank** receives this Notice, to voluntarily file their "**Release of Claim**". If Wells Fargo Bank "**willfully fails to produce**" the "**Release of Claim**" to the Bankruptcy Court in the time allowed, "**Wells Fargo Bank**" will then be in Administrative Default, and;
5. Affiant next states that this inaction in no. 4 would create a "**tacit agreement**" in which **Wells Fargo Bank** would acquiesce by their silence and or their non-response when required to do so, means tacit acceptance. (See Black's Law Dictionary 4<sup>th</sup> Edition) **Wells Fargo Banks** inaction will then allow Affiant the right to seek a lawful remedy, and file a motion with the Bankruptcy Court, to petition the Court to have "**Wells Fargo Bank's**" alleged "**Secured Debt**" to be

lawfully discharged within the instant Bankruptcy Case, to protect Affiant's lawful interest in her Estate, and;

6. Affiant has consistently Acted in "**Good faith and in Honor in this Case**" by sending **Wells Fargo Bank** three previous CERTIFIED and or REGISTERED MAIL NOTICES, as well as Affiant's Sworn Affidavits that are enclosed and attached herein as Exhibits C, D and E to insure that "**Wells Fargo Bank**" has been timely notified of all aspects and important dates of Affiant's current "**Chapter 13 Bankruptcy**" status, and;

7. Affiant states that, as of today's date, **Wells Fargo Bank** and or their legal Representatives have remained "**Absolutely Silent**" to Affiant's three previous CERTIFIED or REGISTERED MAIL NOTICES, and all of Affiant's Sworn Affidavits, and Affiant's Unrebutted Affidavits. To this date, **Wells Fargo Bank** has "**Willfully refused to respond**" to all of Affiants written correspondences, and;

8. Affiant has therefore has accepted **Wells Fargo Bank's** Silence, their Non-Response, their Non-Rebuttal to all of Affiant's Legal Notices, Affiant's Sworn Affidavits and Affiant's Sworn Unrebutted Affidavits that Affiant timely filed and served upon Wells Fargo Bank, which are all listed in Exhibits C, D and E of this "**Final Notice of Default and Affiant's Final Notice of Unrebutted Affidavit and Declaration of these Facts**", and;

9. Because of these actions and or inactions Affiant hereby accepts **Wells Fargo Bank's** "**willfull failure to timely respond**" as required by law. These inactions which have now become lawful evidence, that **Wells Fargo Bank** and or their legal Representatives have "**lawfully acquiesced**" via "**Tacit Agreement**" to Affiant's Affidavit's and Unrebutted Affidavit's, and;

10. Because of **Wells Fargo Bank's** "**willful failure to appear**" at Affiant's "**Chapter 13 Bankruptcy**" and defend their alleged Secure Creditors Claim in Affiant's two 341 Creditors meetings, and **Wells Fargo Bank's** "**willful failure to timely file their Proof of Claim**" as required by law, these actions and inactions have now become lawful evidence, that "**Wells Fargo Bank**" and or their legal Representatives have "**lawfully acquiesced**" via "**Tacit Agreement**" to all the matters as outlined within the pages of all of Affiant's previous Legal Notices, Affiant's Sworn Affidavits, Affiant's Unrebutted Affidavit's and all of Affiant's CERTIFIED AND REGISTERED MAILINGS that are enclosed and attached to this Unrebutted Affidavit marked as Exhibits C, D and E, and;

11. Affiant further states that an “**Un-Rebutted Affidavit**”, after 10 days from the date it is received, stands as “**Truth in Commerce**”. Further, an “**Un-Rebutted Affidavit**” after 10 days from the date of its receipt becomes the “**Judgment in Commerce**”. (See Exhibit 1) Therefore, because of “**Wells Fargo Bank**” and or their legal Representatives absolute Silence, their Non-Response, their Non-Rebuttal, of Affiant’s Correspondence, and Affiant’s Sworn Affidavits, Affiant’s Unrebutted Affidavits, as well as the Bank’s “Willful Failure to File their Proof of Claim” or even show up for their last two 341 Creditors Meetings, **Wells Fargo Bank** from this point forward, has no lawful Standing in this instant Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case, and;

12. Further, **Wells Fargo Bank** in their actions and or inactions have created a lawful “**Tacit Agreement**” between the two parties, because of their silence and non-performance and all the Information that was within Affiant’s three Correspondence’s that were sent to **Wells Fargo Bank**, now “Stands as the truth”, and has become the standing Lawful Evidence in this Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case and the Un-Rebutted Affidavits have now become the “Judgment in Commerce”, (See Exhibit 1) and;

13. In conclusion, Affiant further states and reiterates, that the Unanswered “**UN-REBUTTED AFFIDAVITS**” and the documented “**Unanswered Correspondence**” that are attached to this Unrebutted Affidavit in this Mailing, now become and stand as lawfully admissible relevant evidence, and the true evidentiary facts in Affiant’s “**Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case**”. As of the date of this Affidavit, these documents have now become the “**Unchallenged Factual Evidence**” in its “**Un-Rebutted Written Form**”, and;

14. It is further agreed by the parties that by this “**Tacit Agreement**” that **Wells Fargo Bank** has lawfully Acquiesced to all this, by their actions and or their inactions, and that there is no longer any “Argument or Controversy” on the facts, the language or the word usage in Affiant’s past three CERTIFIED AND REGISTERED Wells Fargo Bank letters, and all the Exhibits that were attached to them, Affiant’s past two Affidavits, Affiant’s past Unrebutted Affidavit now “Stands as the Truth” and the Unrebutted Affidavits now stand as the “Judgment in Commerce” on this Matter (See Exhibit 1) and;

15. In closing, “it is also agreed by all parties” that all this information that has now been filed within this instant Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case, can now be lawfully used as the relevant evidence and the evidentiary facts, that will be

used by the Affiant for future Motions and Petitions, to be filed by Affiant in this instant Case and other Cases in the future, if necessary.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

Under the PENALTY OF PERJURY, under the laws of the State of Washington, I certify that this "**UN-REBUTTED AFFIDAVIT**", **FINAL NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND AFFIANT'S FINAL NOTICE OF UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF THESE FACT** identified above, is true and correct, and that the four page Letter that I drafted entitled "**FINAL NOTICE OF DEFAULT \* NOTICE TO CEASE AND DESIST IN DEFENDANT'S FRAUDULANT ACTIVITIES \***" is to the best of my understanding and knowledge has been done in "**Good Faith and in Honor**". I have also sent this Affidavit and my "**NOTICE OF DEFAULT**" letter to provide **Wells Fargo Bank** with detailed instructions and an outline of the time they have to voluntarily file their "**RELEASE OF CLAIM**" within Affiant's instant Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date \_\_\_\_\_

Karie A. Simmons

**Exhibit 1 Enclosed:** Two page legal research from CASEMINE law research on the "**Maxims of Law**" and up to date Court Case research on "**Affidavits and Un-Rebutted Affidavits**".

# CASEMINE \* RESEARCH

## On Affidavits and Unrebutted Affidavits

### 1. Cite text. Cases cited for the legal proposition you have searched for.

In this case.....Plaintiff asserts that the Court is bound by a variety of “maxims of law”, such as an **unrebutted affidavit** stands as....UCC’s “underlying purposes and policies”. Va. Code Ann §§ 8.1A-204,-201(16), -103. None of these provisions suggests that failure to respond to an “**Affidavit of Truth**” within ten days constitutes an....state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Accepting all factual allegations in Plaintiff’s complaint as true and drawing all reasonable inferences in her favor, I find that the Plaintiff has pleaded ...

Motion to dismiss: Granted

---

### 2. Bey v. Stumpf

COURT: UNITED STATES OF DISTRICT COURT, D. NEW JERSY

DATE: OCT 17, 2001 CITED BY: 26 CORAM:1

In this case..... **Unrebutted Affidavit Stands as Truth in Commerce \*** **An Unrebutted Affidavit Becomes the Judgment in Commerce \*** **He Who Leaves the Battlefield First Loses.....** this Writ/Petition has not rebutted and this issue **stands as Judgment. Again! \*** **In Commerce Truth is Sovereign \*** **Truth is Expressed in the Form of an Affidavit.....****Stands as Truth in Commerce \*** **An Unrebutted Affidavit becomes the Judgment in Commerce \*** **He who leaves the Battlefield first loses.....**

---

### 3. Kapenda v. Parker

COURT: COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO TENTH APPELLATE DISTRIC

DATE: MAY 7, 2019 CITED BY: 0 CORAM: 1

In this case..... 6:3-5 19:11-13; Num. 30:2; Matt. The fifth (5<sup>th</sup>) maxim of law says, **An unrebutted affidavit stands as truth in commerce** Exhibit (C) An injunction was given to prosecutor Dyal Kenner..... Magistrate on April 9, 2018, and argues that his submittal of **unrebutted affidavits.....**

---

**4. Black v. Van Schiver**

**COURT: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DATE: SEPT 1, 2016 Motion to dismiss: Granted

In this case..... Truth is expressed in the form of an Affidavit. An unrebutted affidavit stands as truth in commerce. An unrebutted affidavit becomes judgment. (Doc. No. 1 at 14-15.) Finally, plaintiff asserts that one of the exhibits submitted with his complaint is his "Affidavit of Truth".....

---

**5. Adkins v. Kentucky**

**COURT: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY LOUISVILLE DIVISION**

DATE: DEC 12 2018 CORAM: 1

In this case..... it discusses an affidavit which Petitioner apparently signed. It states, "Truth as a valid statement of reality is sovereign in commerce; An unrebutted affidavit or declaration stands as.... An unrebutted affidavit is acted upon as the judgement in commerce; Guaranteed – All men/women shall have a remedy by the due course of law... Elizabeth Adkins initiated this pro se action by filling a document caption as a "Writ of Execution or Judicial Order Enforcing Judgment

---

**6. Chyba v. First Fin. Asset Mgmt. Inc**

**COURT: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DATE: APR 30, 2013 CORAM: 1**

In this case....Third, Plaintiff claims that the Defendant did not rebut her Affidavit with an affidavit, and that an unrebutted affidavit stands as truth. ...

**Plaintiff's Affidavit** as an initial matter, this Court addresses a dispute between the parties concerning the propriety of evidence offered by Plaintiff. As part of her Opposition to... counsel was submitted as evidence. Second, plaintiff claims that Defendant **did not rebut Plaintiff's affidavit in a timely manner proper format under the local rules** and.....



## **EXHIBIT C**

IN THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE  
CHAPTER 13 BANKRUPTCY

Date March 18<sup>th</sup> 2022

Case no. 2210270

Karie A. Simmons  
11127 NE 162<sup>ND</sup> St.  
Bothell, WA 98011

Plaintiff,

vs.

Wells Fargo Bank  
625 Marquette Ave.  
Minneapolis, MN 55479

Defendant,

PETITION FOR A VERIFICATION OF  
PROOF OF CLAIM OF YOUR  
DEBT OR INTREST IN THIS REAL  
PROPERTY OR RELEASE OF CLAIM

**PETITION FOR A VERIFICATION OF DEBT**

Reference TIN# 54-2199303

Account No. 713140882

Plaintiff, Karie A. Simmons, does hereby lawfully request verification from Wells Fargo Bank of the alleged debt, encumbrance, or interest in or on the property referenced in the Account No. above, in the form of a "**Proof of Claim**". This documentation is necessary in order to establish whether Wells Fargo Bank, in this Case, has a lawful standing to bring forth remedies entitled to the Defendant in this instant Case. The Plaintiff in this instant case does hereby lawfully request the Defendant listed in this Case No. 2210270 to produce the following documents (listed on page 2), to be listed within your "**PROOF OF CLAIM**" as lawful **evidence and verification of your claim**. This action needs to be completed within the next 15 days from the Date of this Notice for the purpose of verification of the debt, encumbrance or interest in the real property noted above or your written "**Release of Claim**" to this property.

1. Produce the **Original “WET INK” Signature Promissory note** signed by the Defendant Karie A. Simmons in association with the loan pursuant to USC Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 101 § 2071.

2. Provide proof that the Defendant is in fact the **Lawful Note Holder in Due Course**, and that PHH Mortgage has a “**lawful standing as a Party of Interest**” in the original Promissory Note. This is because the Plaintiff has reason to believe that the Defendant might have bought an interest in the alleged Promissory Note, under a Mortgage-backed Securities Interest from another Mortgage Company, and that the Defendant is not a lawful party to, or within, the original Promissory Note.

3. Defendant **“must stipulate to Plaintiff in this case via a Lawful Affidavit”** signed under the “Penalty of Perjury” by someone who can be lawfully subpoenaed, who is able to show Proof that the Defendant in this instant case is in fact a **“Lawful Secured Creditor of the original Loan/Security Instrument”**. In this case, a Lawful Secured Creditor needs to show true double entry accounting of this debt as evidence of the transaction, within the original loan to the Plaintiff, according to the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. (GAAP)

4. If the **“Defendant is unable”** to or for whatever reason cannot produce a **Lawful Proof of Claim that is signed under Penalty of Perjury**, Wells Fargo Bank will be unable to have any Lawful standing in this instant Case, or any other future controversy or claim concerning this matter.

5. If the Defendant in this case is **“unable to produce”** a **“Lawful Proof of Claim”**, signed under the **Penalty of Perjury**, then the Plaintiff in this Case, will pray to the Court to ask for an Order to have the Defendant’s Alleged Debt discharged in favor of the Plaintiff. Then Plaintiff will be free to seek whatever lawful remedies or recourses that are due to the Plaintiff in this instant Case.

6. Defendants **“failure to respond”** to this letter will be taken by the Plaintiff as a Dishonor, and that the Defendant is acting in Bad Faith in this matter. Further, Defendant’s Silence will be used as evidence that Defendant has acquiesced in this matter, and it will be treated as an Administrative Default, per the Administrative Procedures Act of 1946. Further, if Defendant **“fails to respond”** within the time allowed in this Notice, Defendant’s **“Alleged Secured Debt”** will have no legal standing in this instant Case before this Bankruptcy Court.

7. Defendant’s **“failure to produce”** the **Original “WET INK” Signature on the Original Promissory note** will be taken by the Plaintiff as a Dishonor, and that the Defendant is acting in Bad Faith. Further, Defendant’s Silence will be used as evidence that Wells Fargo Bank has acquiesced in this matter, and it will be treated as an **“Administrative Default”** as per the Administrative Procedures Act of 1946. In closing, Defendant’s **“failure to produce”** the documentation requested in this Petition will become the evidence that the Defendant’s Secured Creditor position in this matter, lacks the necessary lawful elements for a **“Valid Proof of Claim”**, and is therefore moot, in this instant Bankruptcy Case.

Sincerely,

Karie A. Simmons Date 3/18/22

Karie A. Simmons, Plaintiff

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HERBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the previous two pages of this **PETITION FOR A VERIFICATION OF DEBT** has been sent to Wells Fargo Bank by way of **REGISTERED MAIL** to Defendant's at their last known address: Wells Fargo Bank 625 Marquette Ave. Minneapolis, MN 55749 on this 18 day of March 2022.

Karie A. Simmons

Karie A. Simmons

\_\_\_\_\_  
Witness

REGISTERED MAIL NO. \_\_\_\_\_

\* Note original copy had witness signature

# AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF FACTS

## OF "PETITION FOR A VERIFICATION OF DEBT"

I, Karie A. Simmons, residing at 11127 NE 162<sup>nd</sup> St., Bothell, WA, herein referred to as and incorporated into this Affidavit as, "Affiant", does solemnly affirm, declare to the best of her abilities, verify, and state as follows:

1. Affiant is competent to state the matters set forth herein, and;
2. Affiant has first-hand personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, and;
3. Affiant states that all the fact stated herein are true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge of the information that is stated in my PETITION FOR A VERIFICATION OF DEBT that I authored on March 18/2022. If called upon as a witness, Affiant will testify to the veracity of my personal understandings and reasons for the drafting of this document.
4. Affiant has acted in "Good Faith and in Honor" by sending Wells Fargo Bank a lawful copy of this document to allow them to have the time that they need to File their "Proof of Claim" in my Bankruptcy Case No. 2210270. I have also given them a detailed outline of information and the evidence that they will need to produce in order to substantiate their claim and to provide the necessary lawful elements for them to provide a "**Valid Claim within their Proof of Claim**" within my instant Bankruptcy Case.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

"Under the PENALTY OF PERJURY, under the laws of the State of Washington, I certify that this "AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF FACTS, identified above, is true and correct, and that my 3-page Letter entitled PETITION FOR A VERIFICATION OF DEBT, that I drafted is to the best of my understanding and knowledge is done in Good Faith. I have sent it in advance to provide Wells Fargo Bank with a detailed outline of the necessary lawful elements that they will need, in order to substantiate their "Proof of Claim" within my instant Bankruptcy Case.



Karie A. Simmons

Date 3/18/22

Exhibit enclosed: 3-page Letter from Karie A. Simmons to Wells Fargo Bank

## **EXHIBIT D**

*My Copy*

IN THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE CHAPTER 13 BANKRUPTCY

Date April 8<sup>th</sup>, 2022

Case no. 2210270

Karie A. Simmons  
11127 NE 162<sup>ND</sup> St.  
Bothell, WA 98011

Plaintiff,

vs.

Wells Fargo Bank  
625 Marquette Ave.  
Minneapolis, MN 55479

Defendant,

NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND DEMAND  
THAT YOU FILE YOUR "PROOF OF  
CLAIM" OR "RELEASE OF CLAIM"

**REGISTERED MAIL NO.**  
\_\_\_\_\_

**NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND DEMAND THAT YOU**  
**FILE YOUR "PROOF OF CLAIM" OR "RELEASE OF CLAIM"**

Reference TIN# 22-2195996

Account No. 7131401882

Plaintiff, Karie A. Simmons, does hereby "lawfully notice" Wells Fargo Bank that you failed to file your "Proof of Claim" in the above listed Bankruptcy Case. You also failed to show up at your 341 Creditors Meeting on April 4, 2022. Further, you were lawfully put on Notice of this Pending Case via a Certified Letter, that I previously sent you marked, Exhibit A in this letter. This is your "2<sup>nd</sup> Notice and Demand", to remind you that if you do have a lawful claim in this Bankruptcy Estate listed above, that you must immediately file your "Proof of Claim" within the next 10 days from the date of this Certified letter to secure your lien, encumbrance or your lawful interest in or on the property referenced in this Bankruptcy Case No.

2210270. If you do not have a lawful or valid claim, then file a “RELEASE OF CLAIM” in the interest of the Plaintiff within this case.

This documentation that is demanded is necessary in order to establish whether Wells Fargo Bank, does or does not have a lawful standing to bring forth remedies entitled to the Defendant in this instant Case. The Plaintiff in this instant case does hereby lawfully request the Defendant listed in this Case No. 2210270 to also produce the following documents listed below in numbers 1-7. These documents must be listed or attached to your “PROOF OF CLAIM” as lawful evidence to verify and validate your claim. Failure to do so, in the time the law requires you to do so, could result in the Lawful discharge of your aledged debt that is listed on the previous debt related documents that you have sent me, and that I have personally filed in this Bankruptcy Case.

This lawful action needs to be completed by you or your lawfully appointed legal representative immediately, within the next 10 days from the Date of this Notice, for the purpose of validation and verification of your alleged debt, encumbrance or interest in the real property noted above or your written and signed “Release of Claim” to this property and or estate.

1. Produce the Original “WET INK” Signature Promissory note signed by the Defendant Karie A. Simmons in association with the loan pursuant to USC Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 101 § 2071.

2. Provide proof that the Defendant is in fact the Lawful Note Holder in Due Course, and that Wells Fargo Bank has a “lawful standing as a Party of Interest” in the original Promissory Note. This is because the Plaintiff has reason to believe that the Defendant might have bought an interest in the alleged Promissory Note, under a Mortgage backed Securities Interest from another Mortgage Company, that the Defendant is not a lawful party to, or within, the original Promissory Note.

3. Defendant “must stipulate to Plaintiff in this case via a Lawful Affidavit” signed under the “Penalty of Perjury” by someone who can be lawfully subpoenaed, who is able to show documented Proof and Bona Fide evidence that the Defendant in this instant case is in fact a “Lawful Secured Creditor of the original Loan/Security Instrument”. In this case, a Lawful Secured Creditor needs to also show true double entry accounting of this debt as evidence of the transaction, within the original loan to the Plaintiff and the Bankruptcy Court, according to the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. (GAAP)

4. If the “Defendant is unable” to or for whatever reason cannot produce a Lawful Proof of Claim” that is signed under Penalty of Perjury, Wells Fargo Bank will be unable to have any Lawful standing in this instant Case, or any other future controversy or claim concerning this matter.


5. If the Defendant in this case is “unable to produce” a “Lawful Proof of Claim”, signed under the Penalty of Perjury, then the Plaintiff in this Case, will pray to the Court and ask for an Order to have the Defendant’s Alleged Debt discharged in favor of the

Plaintiff. Then Plaintiff will be free to seek whatever lawful remedies or resources that are due to the Plaintiff in this instant Case.

6. The Defendant's "failure to respond" to this letter will be taken by the Plaintiff as a Dishonor, and that the Defendant is acting in Bad Faith in this matter. Further, Defendant's Silence will be used as evidence that Defendant has acquiesced in this matter, and it will be treated as an Administrative Default, per the Administrative Procedures Act of 1946. Further, if Defendant "fails to respond" within the time allowed in this Notice, Defendant's "Alleged Secured Debt" will have no legal standing in this instant Case before this Bankruptcy Court.

7. Defendant's "failure to produce" the Original "WET INK" Signature on the Original Promissory note will be taken by the Plaintiff as a Dishonor, and that the Defendant is acting in Bad Faith. Further, Defendant's Silence will be used as evidence that Wells Fargo Bank has acquiesced in this matter, and it will be treated as an "Administrative Default" as per the Administrative Procedures Act of 1946. In closing, Defendant's "failure to produce" the documentation requested in this Petition will become the evidence that the Defendant's Secured Creditor position in this matter, lacks the necessary lawful elements for a "Valid Proof of Claim", and is therefore moot, and lawfully dischargeable within this instant Bankruptcy Case.

Sincerely,




Karie A. Simmons Date 4/8/22

Karie A. Simmons, Plaintiff

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the previous three pages of this **NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND DEMAND THAT YOU FILE YOUR "PROOF OF CLAIM" OR "RELEASE OF CLAIM"** has been sent to Wells Fargo Bank by way of **REGISTERED MAIL** to Defendant's at their last known address: Wells Fargo Bank, 625 Marquette Ave. Minneapolis, MN 55479 on this 8<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2022.



Karie A. Simmons

Witness



\* Copy Sent prior has 3 witness Signature

*My Copy*

## AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF FACTS

### OF "NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND DEMAND" THAT YOU FILE YOUR "PROOF OF CLAIM" OR "RELEASE OF CLAIM"

I, Karie A. Simmons, residing at 11127 NE 162<sup>nd</sup> St., Bothell, WA, herein referred to as and incorporated into this Affidavit as, "Affiant", does solemnly affirm, declare to the best of her abilities, verify, and state as follows:

1. Affiant is competent to state the matters set forth herein, and;
2. Affiant has first-hand personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, and;
3. Affiant states that all the facts stated herein are true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge of the information that is stated in my **NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND DEMAND" THAT YOU FILE YOUR "PROOF OF CLAIM" OR "RELEASE OF CLAIM"** that I authored on April 8<sup>th</sup> /2022. If called upon as a witness, Affiant will testify to the veracity of my personal understandings and reasons for the drafting of this document.
4. Affiant has acted in "Good Faith and in Honor" by sending **Wells Fargo Bank** a lawful copy of the document identified in paragraph above, to allow them to have the time that they need to File their "Proof of Claim" or "Release of Claim" in my Bankruptcy Case No. 2210270. I have also given the Bank a detailed outline of information and the evidence that they will need to produce and attach to their "Proof of Claim" or "Release of Claim", in order to substantiate their claim and to provide the necessary lawful elements for them to provide a "**Valid Proof of Claim**" within my instant Bankruptcy Case.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

Under the PENALTY OF PERJURY, under the laws of the State of Washington, I certify that this "**AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF FACTS**", identified above, is true and correct, and that my 3-page Letter that I drafted entitled "**NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND DEMAND" THAT YOU FILE YOUR "PROOF OF CLAIM" OR "RELEASE OF CLAIM"**" is to the best of my

understanding and knowledge done in Good Faith. I have sent this Affidavit and my **NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND DEMAND** letter to provide **Wells Fargo Bank** with a detailed outline of the necessary lawful elements that they will need, in order to substantiate their "Proof of Claim" or their "Release of Claim", within my instant Bankruptcy Case.

 Date 4/8/22  
Karie A. Simmons

**Exhibit A** enclosed: 3-page Letter from Karie A. Simmons that was sent via REGISTERED Mail to **Wells Fargo Bank**.

## **EXHIBIT E**

*My Copy*

IN THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE CHAPTER 13 BANKRUPTCY

Date April 18<sup>th</sup>, 2022

Case no. 2210270

Karie A. Simmons  
11127 NE 162<sup>nd</sup> St.  
Bothell, WA 98011

Plaintiff,  
vs.

Wells Fargo Bank  
625 Marquette Ave.  
Minneapolis, MN 55479

Defendant,

FINAL NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND MY  
UN-REBUTTED AFFIDAVIT AND  
DECLARATION OF THESE FACTS

REGISTERED MAIL NO.

**NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND MY UN-REBUTTED**  
**"AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF THESE FACTS"**

Reference TIN# 22-2195996

Account No. 7131401882

Plaintiff, Karie A. Simmons, does hereby "lawfully notice" Wells Fargo Bank that as of this date you failed to file your "Proof of Claim" in the above listed Bankruptcy Case. You also failed to show up at your 341 Creditors Meeting on April 11, 2022. Further, you were lawfully put on Notice of this Pending Case via 3 Certified Letters, that I previously sent you marked, Exhibits A, B, and C in this letter. This is your "3<sup>rd</sup> and Finial Notice of Your Default, to remind you that you had a lawful duty under the Bankruptcy Laws to file a Valid Proof of Claim in this Bankruptcy Estate listed above to protect any lawful interest that you might have had in this Estate. Because you have not filed a lawful or valid "Proof of Claim" within the time the law required you to do so, then your nonperformance in this matter is the proof that you have no valid or lawful interest in the specific Bankruptcy Estate. Therefore, this is your Finial Notice to file a "RELEASE OF CLAIM" IMMEDIATELY, in the interest of the Plaintiff within this specific case, or if the Plaintiff in this case does not receive it within the next 10 days from the date of this Notice, the PLAINTIFF WILL EXERSIZE HER RIGHT AND FILE A MOTION WITH THE BANKRUPTCY COURT TO HAVE DEFENDANT'S ALLEGED DEBT LAWFULLY DISCHARGED IN FULL, TO PROTECT THE PLAINTIFF'S INTEREST IN HER ESTATE.

The only documentation that is demanded or required from you at this time, is the "Release of Claim", as you have waved your legal right to file a lawful "PROOF OF CLAIM". Wells Fargo Bank, is now in Default and has acquiesced by its silence, and does not at this time have a lawful standing or bases to bring forth any lawful remedies that they would have been entitled to the Defendant in this instant Case, had the Defendants filed their "PROOF OF CLAIM" within the time allowed within this instance Bankrupt Case. This final lawful action needs to be completed by you or your lawfully appointed legal representative immediately, within the next 10 days from the Date of this Notice, for the purpose of voluntarily "Releasing your interest or Claim" to this property and or estate.

Defendant's "failure to respond" to this "Notice of Default" will be taken by the Plaintiff as a Dishonor, in that the Defendant is and has been acting in Bad Faith in this matter. Further, Defendant's Silence will now be used as evidence by the Plaintiff that the Defendant in this Case has acquiesced in this matter. Therefore it will be treated as an Administrative Default, per the Administrative Procedures Act of 1946. Further, if Defendant "fails to respond" voluntarily within the time allowed in this "Notice of Default", Defendant's silence will give the Plaintiff in this instant case the lawful right to file a MOTION WITHIN THE BANKRUPTCY COURT TO HAVE YOUR ALLEGED SECURED DEBT LAWFULLY DISCHARGED IN FULL, TO PROTECT THE PLAINTIFF'S INTEREST IN HER ESTATE.

Defendant's "failure to produce" the Original "WET INK" Signature on the Original Promissory note has been be taken by the Plaintiff as a Dishonor, and the Defendant is and has been acting in Bad Faith and in Dishonor in every step of the instant Bankruptcy proceedings. Further, Defendant's Silence by not showing up at the 341 Creditors meeting on April 11<sup>th</sup> 2022, will now be used as evidence that Wells Fargo Bank has acquiesced in this matter, and it will be treated as an "Administrative Default", as per the Administrative Procedures Act of 1946.

Defendant's "failure to produce" the required documentation that was sent to "Wells Fargo Bank" in the past 2 CERTIFIED or REGESTERED MAILINGS, has become the lawful evidence that the Defendant's Secured Creditor position in this matter lacked the necessary lawful elements for the Defendants to file a "Valid Proof of Claim". Therefore, the question of "Wells Fargo Bank's" aldedged Secured Creditors' Debt is hereby moot, and lawfully dischargeable within this instant Bankruptcy Case.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date \_\_\_\_\_

Karie A. Simmons, Plaintiff

Enclosed Exhibits:

Exhibit A. Plaintiffs first Notice to Wells Fargo Bank.

Exhibit B. Plaintiffs 2<sup>nd</sup> Notice to Wells Fargo Bank.

Exhibit C: Plaintiffs 3<sup>rd</sup> Notice to Wells Fargo Bank.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HERBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the previous two pages of this "**NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND MY UN-REBUTTED AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF THESE FACTS**" has been sent to Wells Fargo Bank by way of **REGISTERED MAIL** to Defendants at their last known address: Wells Fargo Bank, 625 Marquette Ave. Minneapolis, MN 55479 on this 8<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2022.

---

Karie A. Simmons

---

Witness

*My Copy*

## **UN-REBUTTED AFFIDAVIT**

### **NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND AFFIANT'S UN-REBUTTED "AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF THESE FACTS"**

I, Karie A. Simmons, residing at 11127 NE 162<sup>nd</sup> St., Bothell, WA, herein referred to as and incorporated into this Affidavit as, "Affiant", do solemnly affirm and declare to the best of my abilities, verify, and state as follows:

1. Affiant is competent to state the matters set forth herein, and;
2. Affiant has first-hand personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, and;
3. Affiant states that all the facts stated herein are true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge, the information that is stated in my **NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND AFFIANT'S UN-REBUTTED "AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF THESE FACTS"** is accurately stated. If called upon as a witness, Affiant will testify to the veracity of my personal understandings and reasons for the drafting of this sworn Un-Rebutted affidavit, and;
4. Affiant has acted in "**Good Faith and in Honor**" by sending **Wells Fargo Bank** a lawful copy of the document identified in the paragraph above, to notify them that they need to immediately File their "**Release of Claim**" in Affiant's Bankruptcy Case No. 2210270, within the next 10 days from the 18<sup>th</sup> of April 2022, to voluntarily file their "**Release of Claim**". In their "**failure to produce**" the "**Release of Claim**" to the Bankruptcy Court in the time allowed, "**Wells Fargo Bank**" will then be in Default, **therefore**, acquiescing, by their silence, which will then allow Affiant the lawful right to exercise my lawful right to seek a lawful remedy, and file a motion with the Bankruptcy Court, to have "**Wells Fargo Bank's**" alleged "**Secured Debt**" be lawfully discharged within the instant Bankruptcy Case, to protect Affiant's lawful interest in her Estate, and;
5. Affiant has Acted in "**Good faith and in Honor**" by sending "**Wells Fargo Bank**" three CERTIFIED and REGISTERED MAIL NOTICES, as well as Affiant's Sworn Affidavits that are enclosed and attached herein as Exhibits A, B,

and C, to insure that “**Wells Fargo Bank**” has been timely notified of all aspects and important dates of Affiant’s current “**Chapter 13 Bankruptcy**” status, and;

6. Affiant states that, as of today’s date, “**Wells Fargo Bank**” and or their legal Representatives have remained “**Absolutely Silent**” to Affiant’s three Certified and REGISTERED MAIL NOTICES, as well as all of Affiant’s Sworn Affidavits that were attached to them. “**Wells Fargo Bank**” and or their legal Representatives have willfully refused to file their “**Proof of Claim**” and or meet with the appointed Bankruptcy Trustee in Affiant’s “**341 Creditors Meetings**” to defend the Bank’s alleged Claim of Secured Debt, and;

7. Affiant has therefore has accepted “**Wells Fargo Bank’s**” Silence, their Non-Response, their Non-Rebuttal of Affiant’s Sworn Affidavits and Affiant’s timely filed Notices that are all listed in Exhibits A, B, and C and their refusal to appear at Affiant’s “**Chapter 13 Bankruptcy**” and defend their Claim in Affiant’s estate. Therefore, their willful failure to timely file their “**Proof of Claim**” has now become lawful evidence, that “**Wells Fargo Bank**” and or their legal Representatives have “**lawfully acquiesced**” via “**Tacit Agreement**” to all the matters as outlined within the pages of Affiant’s Sworn Affidavits and all of Affiant’s CERTIFIED AND REGISTERED MAILINGS as listed in Affiant’s enclosed Exhibits marked A, B, and C and;

8. In Closing, Affiant further states that an “**Un-Rebutted Affidavit**”, after 10 days from the date it is received, stands as “**Truth in Commerce**”. (See Exhibit 1) Further, an “**Un-Rebutted Affidavit**” becomes the “**Judgment in Commerce**”. (See Exhibit 1) Therefore, because of “**Wells Fargo Bank**” and or their legal Representatives absolute Silence, their Non-Response, their Non-Rebuttal, of Affiant’s Correspondence, and Affiant’s Sworn Affidavits, as well as their Willful Failure to File their “Proof of Claim” or even show up for their 341 Creditors Meeting, a lawful “**Tacit Agreement**” was created, and the Information in Affiant’s Correspondence now “**Stands as the truth, and has become the Lawful Evidence standing as the Un-Rebutted Truth in Affiant’s Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case**”, and;

9. In conclusion, Affiant further states and reiterates, that the “**UN-REBUTTED AFFIDAVITS** and the documented Unanswered Correspondence in this Mailing now stand as lawfully admissible facts in Affiant’s “**Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case**” as “**Unchallenged Factual Evidence**” in its Un-Rebutted written form. It is further agreed by the parties, that there is no longer

any “Argument or Controversy” on the facts, the language or the word usage in Affiant’s past two Affidavit and Written Correspondence, as well as this current Affidavit, it’s written correspondence and its Exhibits now “Stand as the Truth” and the “Judgment in Commerce” on this Matter, and can now be lawfully used in Affiant’s instant Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case as factual evidence for future Motions to be filed by Affiant. (See Exhibit 1)

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

Under the PENALTY OF PERJURY, under the laws of the State of Washington, I certify that this **“UN-REBUTTED AFFIDAVIT”**, identified above, is true and correct, and that the three page Letter that I drafted entitled **“NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND MY UN-RUBUTTED AFFIDAVIT AND DECLARATION OF THESE FACTS”** (See Exhibit 2) is to the best of my understanding and knowledge done in **“Good Faith and in Honor”**. I have sent this Affidavit and my **“NOTICE OF DEFAULT”** letter to provide **Wells Fargo Bank** with a detailed instructions and an outline of the time they have to voluntarily file their **“RELEASE OF CLAIM”** within Affiant’s instant Chapter 13 Bankruptcy Case.

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Karie A. Simmons

**Exhibit 1:** enclosed: Two page legal research from **CASEMINE** law research on the **“Maxims of Law”** and up to date Court Case research on **“Affidavits and Un-Rebutted Affidavits”**.

**Exhibit 2:** Letter dated April 18, 2022 from Karie A. Simmons that was sent via REGISTERED Mail to **Wells Fargo Bank**.

## **EXHIBIT 1**

# CASE MINE RESEARCH

## On Affidavits and Unrebutted Affidavits

### 1. Cite text. Cases cited for the legal proposition you have searched for.

In this case.....Plaintiff asserts that the Court is bound by a variety of “maxims of law”, such as an **unrebutted affidavit** stands as....UCC’s “underlying purposes and policies”. Va. Code Ann §§ 8.1A-204,-201(16), -103. None of these provisions suggests that failure to respond to an “**Affidavit of Truth**” within ten days constitutes an....state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Accepting all factual allegations in Plaintiff’s complaint as true and drawing all reasonable inferences in her favor, I find that the Plaintiff has pleaded ...

Motion to dismiss: Granted

---

### 2. Bey v. Stumpf

COURT: UNITED STATES OF DISTRICT COURT, D. NEW JERSEY

DATE: OCT 17, 2001 CITED BY: 26 CORAM:1

In this case..... **Unrebutted Affidavit Stands as Truth in Commerce** \* **An Unrebutted Affidavit Becomes the Judgment in Commerce** \* He Who Leaves the Battlefield First Loses..... this Writ/Petition has not rebutted and this issue **stands as Judgment**. Again! \* **In Commerce Truth is Sovereign** \* Truth is Expressed in the Form of an Affidavit.....**Stands as Truth in Commerce** \* **An Unrebutted Affidavit Becomes the Judgment in Commerce** \* He who leaves the Battlefield first loses.....

---

### 3. Kapenda v. Parker

COURT: COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DATE: MAY 7, 2019 CITED BY: 0 CORAM: 1

In this case..... 6:3-5 19:11-13; Num. 30:2; Matt. The fifth (5<sup>th</sup>) maxim of law says, **An unrebutted affidavit stands as truth in commerce** Exhibit (C) An injunction was given to prosecutor Dyal Kenner..... Magistrate on April 9, 2018, and argues that his submittal of **unrebutted affidavits**.....

---

**4. Black v. Van Schiver**

**COURT: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DATE: SEPT 1, 2016 Motion to dismiss: Granted

In this case..... Truth is expressed in the form of an Affidavit. An unrebutted affidavit stands as truth in commerce. An unrebutted affidavit becomes judgment. (Doc. No. 1 at 14-15.) Finally, plaintiff asserts that one of the exhibits submitted with his complaint is his "Affidavit of Truth".....

---

**5. Adkins v. Kentucky**

**COURT: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY LOUISVILLE DIVISION**

DATE: DEC 12 2018 CORAM: 1

In this case..... it discusses an affidavit which Petitioner apparently signed. It states, "Truth as a valid statement of reality is sovereign in commerce; An unrebutted affidavit or declaration stands as.... An unrebutted affidavit is acted upon as the judgement in commerce; Guaranteed – All men/women shall have a remedy by the due course of law... Elizabeth Adkins initiated this pro se action by filling a document caption as a "Writ of Execution or Judicial Order Enforcing Judgment

---

**6. Chyba v. First Fin. Asset Mgmt. Inc**

**COURT: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA** DATE: APR 30, 2013 CORAM: 1

In this case....Third, Plaintiff claims that the Defendant did not rebut her Affidavit with an affidavit, and that an unrebutted affidavit stands as truth. ...

**Plaintiff's Affidavit** as an initial matter, this Court addresses a dispute between the parties concerning the propriety of evidence offered by Plaintiff. As part of her Opposition to... counsel was submitted as evidence. Second, plaintiff claims that Defendant did not rebut Plaintiff's affidavit in a timely manner proper format under the local rules and.....